

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number:

**0 655 301 A1**

(12)

**EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**(21) Application number: **94117825.3**(51) Int. Cl.<sup>6</sup>: **B25J 19/00**(22) Date of filing: **11.11.94**(30) Priority: **15.11.93 SE 9303757**(43) Date of publication of application:  
**31.05.95 Bulletin 95/22**(84) Designated Contracting States:  
**DE ES FR GB IT SE**(71) Applicant: **ASEA BROWN BOVERI AB****S-721 83 Västerås (SE)**(72) Inventor: **Snell, John-Erik**  
**Rungatan 3,**  
**S-723 51 Västerås (SE)**(74) Representative: **Boecker, Joachim, Dr.-Ing.**  
**Adelonstrasse 58**  
**D-65929 Frankfurt am Main (DE)**(54) **Method and device for calibration of movement axes of an industrial robot.**

(57) In a calibration method for an industrial robot (2-6), a calibration tool (8) supported by the robot hand (6) is brought into contact a spherical calibration body (7) with a known radius. Thereafter, the output signals from the position transducers of the robot axes are read and stored. This method is repeated a plurality of times with different robot configurations.

Thereafter, the calibration parameters of the robot are calculated starting from the kinematic equations of the robot, a model of the relationship between axial position and position transducer signal, the known radius of the calibration body, and the read and stored position transducer signals.




Fig. 1

## TECHNICAL FIELD

The invention relates to a method for calibration of movement axes of an industrial robot according to the precharacterising part of claim 1.

The invention also relates to an industrial robot system according to the precharacterising part of claim 4 for carrying out the method according to the invention.

## BACKGROUND ART

A typical industrial robot is previously known from US-A-3 909 600 and US-A-3 920 972. Such a robot has a stand which is rotatably arranged on a foot and which supports a first robot arm which is rotatable in relation to the stand. In the outer end of this arm, and rotatable in relation thereto, a second robot arm is arranged. In its outer end this second arm supports a hand which is provided with a tool attachment and which is rotatable in two or three degrees of freedom in relation to the second arm. The robot is provided with control equipment for controlling the position and orientation of the robot hand. For each one of the above-mentioned axes of rotation, servo equipment, comprising a driving motor and a position transducer, is provided, the position transducer delivering a signal which is a measure of the angle of rotation of the current axis in relation to a reference position. To the servo system of each axis there is supplied a desired value for the angle of rotation of the axis, and the driving motor of the axis causes the robot to move in the current axis until the angular position indicated by the position transducer of the axis corresponds to the desired value supplied to the servo system.

For the position and orientation of the robot hand to correspond to the desired position and orientation, the mechanical structure of the robot and the data which describe it must be known with a high accuracy. This means that it is not sufficient for the nominal robot model to be known but also the individual deviations from the nominal model must be known. These deviations may be: Variations in arm lengths, deviations in the orientations of the axes of rotation of the joints, or lateral displacements (offset) of the axes. These deviations arise in the manufacture of the different mechanical components and in the assembly of these. To this is also to be added that the angle indicated by the position transducer of an axis must with great accuracy correspond to the actual angle of rotation of that mechanical part of the robot which is controlled with the aid of the axis in question.

Because of difficulties in carrying out the calibration in a manner which is economical and

adapted to production, the currently normal method is only to consider the nominal structure of the robot for describing the geometry of the robot.

For determining the relationship between the position transducer signals of the robot axes and the actual angles of rotation of the arms of the robot, different forms of calibration methods are used.

In one such calibration method, the robot is caused to assume such a position that the actual angles of rotation in the different axes are known, whereupon the angles of rotation indicated by the position transducers are compared with the actual angles. The position transducers may thereafter be adjusted such that the angles indicated thereby correspond to the actual angles. Alternatively, the deviations between the indicated angles and the actual angles may be stored and then be used during operation for correction of the output signals from the position transducers.

According to a previously known calibration method, the different parts of the robot are set at predetermined initial positions with the aid of a water-level which is mounted on accurately finished projections, provided for that purpose, on the different parts of the robot. In the initial position, for example, the above-mentioned first arm may be vertical, the second arm and the hand horizontal etc. In this so-called synchronization position, the actual angles in the different axes of the robot are in this way known, the angles indicated by the position transducers may be read off, and for each axis a so-called offset value may be determined which constitutes the difference between the known actual angle and the angle received from the position transducer. However, this method requires mounting of special additional equipment (the water-levels). Further, the different parts of the robot must be designed such that an accurate mounting of the water-levels is made possible, which entails an increased cost of the mechanical parts of the robot. The calibration method must be carried out manually and requires a relatively considerable time expenditure. Further, the method has a limited accuracy.

From SE-A-9000273-4 a calibration method is known in which a parallel-epipedic calibration body is used, the position of which in the base coordinate system of the robot must be known. A calibration tool mounted on the robot hand is brought into contact with the calibration body in a number of different robot configurations. Thereafter, the offset values of the position transducer of the robot are calculated on the basis of the kinematic equations of the robot, a model of the relationship between axial position and position transducer signal, the coordinates in the basic system which are known in the calibration positions, and the read and stored

position transducer signals. A disadvantage of this method is that the position of the calibration body must be accurately known. It is normally difficult, in a robot installation in practice, to arrange a calibration body such that its side surface has known coordinates without the use of external measuring equipment.

From SE-A-9103642-6 it is known to use a calibration body with pairwise opposite side surfaces with a known edge length, the position of which in the base coordinate system of the robot need not be known. The measurements are carried out pairwise on opposite side surfaces, which means that each measurement is carried out twice. A disadvantage of this method are the pairwise measurements which double the necessary number of measurements and which render difficult the execution of the calibration. The manufacture of a calibration body with exact edge lengths is complicated and therefore becomes expensive.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention aims to provide a calibration method of the above-mentioned kind which does not require extensive additional equipment for the calibration, which provides a high accuracy in the calibration, which is possible to carry out the calibration automatically and hence rapidly and inexpensively, which is independent of how the robot is erected, and which provides a possibility of compensating for geometrical defects in the mechanical parts.

The invention also aims to provide an industrial robot system with means for carrying out the method according to the invention.

To achieve this aim the invention suggests a method for calibration of movement axes of an industrial robot according to the introductory part of claim 1, which is characterized by the features of the characterizing part of claim 1.

Further developments of the method according to the invention are characterized by the features of the additional claims 2 and 3.

The industrial robot system for carrying out the method is according to the invention characterized by the features of claim 4.

Further developments of the industrial robot system according to the invention are characterized by the features of the additional claims 5 to 7.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

By way of example, the invention will now be described in greater detail with reference to the accompanying drawings showing in

Figure 1

schematically an industrial robot with a calibra-

tion body and a calibration tool according to the invention,

Figure 2

in more detail a calibration tool for carrying out the method according to the invention,

Figure 3

the calibration body in the base coordinate system of the robot,

Figures 4a-4c

examples of different positions assumed by the calibration tool when carrying out the calibration according to the invention,

Figure 5

the configuration of an industrial robot system with means for carrying out the method according to the invention,

Figure 6

in the form of a flow diagram an example of a program for automatically carrying out the calibration method according to the invention,

Figure 7

in the form of a flow diagram the principle of carrying out the calculations with the aid of which, as a result of the calibration method, the calibration parameters are obtained.

Figures 8a and 8b

the calibration parameters for a robot axis.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figure 1 shows an example of an industrial robot, known per se, for the calibration of which the method according to the invention can advantageously be used. On a base 1 the robot foot 2 is fixedly mounted. The robot has a stand 3, which is rotatable in relation to the foot 2 around a vertical axis A1. In the upper end of the stand a first robot arm 4 is journaled and rotatable in relation to the stand around a second axis A2. In the outer end of the arm a second arm 5 is journaled and rotatable in relation to the first arm around an axis A3. The second robot arm 5 comprises two parts 5a and 5b, of which the outer part 5b is rotatable in relation to the inner part 5a around an axis of rotation A4 coinciding with the longitudinal axis of the second arm. In its outer end the arm 5 supports a so-called robot hand 6, which is rotatable around an axis of rotation A5 perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the arm. The robot hand comprises a tool attachment 6a. The outer part of the robot hand and hence the tool attachment 6a are rotatable in relation to the inner part of the robot hand around an axis of rotation A6. The angles of rotation in the six rotational axes A1...A6 are designated  $\theta_1$ ... $\theta_6$  in the figure. Within the working range of the robot there is arranged a calibration body 7. This body has the shape of a sphere with a known radius.

Figure 2 shows in more detail the robot hand 6, the tool attachment 6a and the calibration tool 8 mounted on the tool attachment. The tool is mounted eccentrically on the tool attachment in relation to the axis of rotation A6 of the attachment. At its outer end the tool supports a spherical contact body 8a. The radius  $r$  of the contact body and the distance "a" from the tool attachment and the distance  $b$  from the axis of rotation of the tool attachment to the centre of the contact body 8a are assumed to be known.

Figure 3 shows the so-called base coordinate system of the robot, which system is an orthogonal coordinate system with the Z-axis, coinciding with the axis of rotation A1 in Figure 1, and with the X-axis and the Y-axis in predetermined directions in relation to the robot foot 2. The calibration body 7 is placed completely arbitrarily within the working range of the robot. The radius may be arbitrary but shall be known.

The coordinates XO, YO, ZO for the centre of the sphere (calibration body) need not be known. These three coordinates constitute three unknown parameters and must be added to the number of calibration parameters which are to be calibrated.

In the calibration method according to the invention, a number of measurements are carried out. The number of measurements is to be at least as great as the number of unknown parameters, that is, the number of calibration parameters to be calibrated plus three. The number of measurements may, however, advantageously be higher, preferably considerably higher, thus obtaining an increased accuracy in the calibration. The calibration method according to the invention also tends to provide higher accuracy the greater the differences are between the configurations used.

During each measurement, the robot is first run - manually or automatically - to a configuration where the contact body 8a is at a point at some distance from the calibration body. The robot is then run such that the contact body moves in a direction towards the calibration body until they make contact with each other. This movement can be carried out in a manner known per se by means of a search method which interrupts the movement upon contact with the calibration body or with the aid of a so-called soft servo which, per se, strives to continue the movement after contact but which can only apply a limited force to the calibration tool. When contact has been achieved, the output signals from the position transducer of the robot are read and stored.

Measurements are suitably carried out at a number of different points on the calibration body with different configurations of the robot. The configuration of the robot is defined by its axis angles, and one configuration differs from another if at

least some of the axis angles is changed. Figures 4a-4c show examples of different robot configurations when measuring three different points on the calibration body.

The calibration body is suitably placed on some form of stand 9. It is an advantage if the stand is raisable and lowerable. The accuracy of the calibration can be increased by calculating the calibration parameters several times with the sphere in different positions in the working range of the robot. With a raisable and lowerable stand, the position of the sphere in the vertical direction may be varied. The calibration parameters may, for example, be calculated as a mean value of the calibration parameters calculated for the different positions.

As position transducers in industrial robots resolvers are usually used, the calibration method according to the invention will be described below as applied to an industrial robot with this type of position transducer. However, the invention can be applied also to robots with other types of position transducers. The calibration parameters which need to be identified are for each degree of freedom of the robot (cf. Fig. 8)

- Offset coordinate for arm link (OX, OY, OZ)
- Obliquity of axis of rotation of arm link (Y, P)
- Offset value of position transducer of axis of rotation (K2)
- Coordinates for the centre of the calibration body  $QO = XO, YO, ZO$ .

For a 6-axis robot this provides altogether 39 parameters which are to be identified. Thus, altogether 39 positionings to the calibration body are required. For each positioning, the position transducer of the robot is read.

The relationship between the coordinates for the position of the calibration tool and the arm angles of the robot, the kinematic model of the robot, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(\theta) &= x \\ f_y(\theta) &= y \\ f_z(\theta) &= z \end{aligned}$$

The arm angle  $(\theta)$  can be expressed in the deflection  $\Phi$  of the position transducers through

$$\theta = K1 \cdot \Phi + K2$$

where

- K1 is the exchange in the transmission between arm angle and measuring transducer (K1 is known),
- K2 is an unknown offset value.

Since the distance  $r + R$  between the position of the calibration tool and the centre of the calibration body is known, the following equation may be

set up

$$(fx(\theta) - XO)^2 + (fy(\theta) - YO)^2 + (fz(\theta) - ZO)^2 = (r + R)^2$$

After insertion of the expression for arm angle  $\theta$  and the read out values for the measuring transducers  $\Phi$  for the current measuring pair, the equation may be expressed as

$$g(K21, K22, K23, K24, K25, K26, \\ OX1, OX2, OX3, OX4, OX5, OX6, \\ OY1, OY2, OY3, OY4, OY5, OY6, \\ OZ1, OZ2, OZ3, OZ4, OZ5, OZ6, \\ Y1, Y2, Y3, Y4, Y5, Y6, \\ P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, XO, YO, ZO) = (r + R)^2$$

or, written in a more compact way,

$$g(K2, OX, OY, OZ, Y, P, QO) = (r + R)^2$$

In this way at least 39 equations are generated.

The calculation of the unknown calibration parameters from the non-linear equation system may be made according to the following:

First, preliminary values of the calibration parameters are assumed. The offset values K2 may be obtained by bringing the robot to an initial position, whereupon vernier scales on each axis are read and the differences between the read values and the resolver angles are formed. In this position, also the initial speed for the resolvers is determined (the speed is set to zero), and  $QO = XO, YO, ZO$  is estimated. The other calibration parameters are set to zero (nominal robot). For each measurement  $j$ , the distance between the centre of the calibration tool and the centre of the sphere is calculated, based on the read out resolver values and on the kinematic model of the robot. The difference  $\epsilon_j$  between the value thus calculated and the known distance  $r + R$  is formed. When these differences have been formed for all the measuring pairs, a quantity  $\epsilon = \sqrt{(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \dots + \epsilon_{39}^2)}$  is determined.

The quantity  $\epsilon$  is then compared with a predetermined tolerance value. If  $\epsilon$  is greater than this value, the set values are adjusted according to the Newton-Gauss algorithm for solution of overdetermined non-linear equation systems, and the above procedure is repeated until  $\epsilon$  is lower than the tolerance value. Finally, the calibration parameters thus determined are stored to be used for correcting the position of the robot during operation.

To make it possible to determine the unknown parameters, the number of measurements must be at least as great as the number of parameters which are to be calibrated. In the case described above it has been assumed that all the six axes of

the robot are to be calibrated and the smallest possible number of measurements, namely  $3 + 6 \cdot 6 = 39$ , has been assumed. It may be suitable, however, to carry out a considerably larger number of measurements with different configurations, which has proved to provide an improved accuracy in the calibration.

Figure 5 shows, in principle, the configuration of an industrial robot system with control means for automatically carrying out the calibration method described above. The robot 2-6 is provided in the manner described above with a calibration body 7 and a calibration tool 8. The control equipment 10 of the robot comprises, in a known manner, computer equipment with the necessary memories for programs and other data, drive members for the driving motors of the different robot axes as well as the necessary supply equipment. The control equipment 10 is connected to a programming unit 11 for programming and other operation of the robot. Of the control equipment, Figure 5 shows the program executor 101, which in a known way causes the robot to carry out a stored program. In a memory 107 the kinematic model of the robot is stored. In a memory 102 the movement program for the calibration procedure is stored. In a memory 103 there are stored, prior to the automatic calibration procedure, values of the preliminary calibration parameters. A memory 104 is intended for storage of the resolver values which are read off during each measurement when carrying out the calibration method. In a further memory 105 there are stored, prior to the automatic calibration procedure, the necessary basic data such as the radius  $R$  of the calibration body 7 and the length and radius  $r$  of the calibration tool. Further, the control equipment comprises calculating means 106 which, when all the measurements have been executed, on the basis of the read-off resolver values, the kinematic model and basic data from the memory 105, calculate the calibration parameters in the manner described above. The control equipment delivers the necessary control signals CS to the robot and receives from the robot resolver values TS.

Prior to the calibration, a calibration program is stored, for example once and for all, into the memory area 102. The program is adapted to control the movements and other functions of the robot during the calibration procedure. Further, there are stored in the memory 103 preliminary values for the calibration parameters, and in the memory 105 the radius  $R$  of the calibration body as well as the radius  $r$  of the calibration tool.

The configuration of the calibration program is shown in Figure 6. The quantity  $j$  indicates the current measurement and it is assumed that  $M$  measurements are to be carried out. After start-up

of the program (block ST)  $j$  is set equal to 1. Thereafter, there are stored in the working memory of the equipment the radius  $R$  of the calibration body and the radius  $r$  of the calibration tool (block STO  $R$ ;  $r$ ) as well as coordinates for an initial point (block STO  $P_j$ ). This information indicates in what direction the calibration tool is to be moved from the initial point to make contact with the calibration body. After this, the robot is moved in a direction towards the calibration body (block GTS). In block CO? it is sensed whether contact has been obtained with the calibration body. If the answer is no (N), the movement continues, and if the answer is yes (J), the resolver values  $\Phi_{ij}$  (block STO  $\Phi_{ij}$  with  $i$  = axis number) are stored in the memory 104. Thereafter, it is investigated, in block  $j = M?$ , whether all desired measurements have been carried out. If this is not the case,  $j$  is set at  $j + 1$  and the next measurement is carried out. When all the measurements have been carried out, that is, when  $j = M$ , the program proceeds to a calculation method (block DET par), in which the calibration parameters are determined. The parameters thus determined are then stored (in block STO par) for later use in operation of the robot. After this, the program is terminated (block SP).

Figure 7 shows in more detail the configuration of the functional block DET par. The calculation starts with a reading of current values of the calibration parameters, block RE par. The first time these values are obtained from the memory 103, where the preliminary calibration parameters are obtained. Then  $j$  is set at  $j = 1$ , that is, the first measurement is selected. The radius  $R$  of the calibration body and the radius  $r$  of the calibration tool are stored in the working memory. The resolver values  $\Phi_{ij}$  read off and stored for this measurement, are read off. This is performed in blocks RE  $R$ ;  $r$  and RE  $\Phi_{ij}$ . Thereafter there is calculated for the current measurement, starting from the kinematic model of the robot, the distance  $C_j$  between the centre of the calibration tool and the centre of the calibration body. Then the difference  $\epsilon_j = C_j - (R + r)$  is formed. Then, at block  $j = M?$ , it is sensed whether all the current measurements have been completed. If this is not the case,  $j$  is set at  $j = j + 1$  and the corresponding calculation is carried out for the next measurement. When all the measurements have been completed,  $j$  will be equal to  $M$  and a quantity  $\epsilon = \sqrt{(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \dots + \epsilon_M^2)}$  is formed. If this quantity amounts to or exceeds a predetermined tolerance value  $T$ , this is an indication that the used values for the calibration parameters are incorrect. In that case, these values are adjusted, for example according to the above-mentioned Newton-Gauss algorithm (block ADJ par), whereupon the calculation is repeated. This method is repeated with successive adjustments of

the calibration parameters and subsequent determinations of the error  $\epsilon$  until the latter quantity is lower than the predetermined tolerance level. When this is the case, the program proceeds to the next block in Figure 6, where the last used values of the calibration parameters are stored.

Figure 8 shows calibration parameters for a robot axis. The figure shows the nominal coordinate system of an axis,  $X_{nom}, Y_{nom}, Z_{nom}$ , as well as its actual coordinate system  $X_{act}, Y_{act}, Z_{act}$ . The latter deviates from the former by an offset error and by an attitude error.

As shown in Figure 8a, the position of the origin of coordinates in the actual coordinate system is indicated by a vector OE. This vector has three components and is expressed in the nominal coordinate system.

As shown in Figure 8b, the actual coordinate system has an attitude error in relation to the nominal system. Three angles are required to rotate the actual system into agreement with the nominal one, and these three angles indicate the attitude error.

The three components of the offset vector OE and the three angles of the attitude error constitute the six calibration parameters of the robot axis in question.

The invention has been described above in connection with an industrial robot with rotating axes only, but the invention can be applied with the same advantage to robots with linear axes only, or with a combination of linear and rotating axes. Also, the method according to the invention is applicable to robots with a number of axes different from that described above. Further, it has been described above how the method is used for calibration of all the axes of the robot. It may sometimes be suitable to refrain from calibration of one or more of the robot axes. To achieve the greatest possible variation between the robot configurations used, the robot can be provided with several calibration bodies arranged at different locations within the working range of the robot, which locations are selected such that the greatest possible differences are obtained between the robot configurations at the different measurements. In the preferred embodiment of the invention described above, the calibration tool is caused during the calibration to make mechanical contact with a calibration body.

It has been described above how six calibration parameters are determined for each robot axis. The number may be greater, for example if during the calibration it is also desired to take into account non-linearities of the position transducer of the axis. The number may also be smaller, for example if it is known from experience that one or more of the calibration parameters are known or are of a negligible magnitude.

The advantages of using a sphere as calibration body instead of a calibration body with pairwise opposite side surfaces are that

- a) no pairwise positioning is needed,
- b) the number of necessary measurements is halved,
- c) a sphere with a constant radius is lighter, that is, less expensive, to manufacture.

#### Claims

1. A method for calibration of movement axes of an industrial robot (2-6), which has a plurality of movement axes (A1-A6) with a position transducer for each of said axes which is adapted to supply an output signal ( $\Phi$ ) which defines the current position of the axis, and which robot has a robot hand (6) for supporting a tool, by which method a number of calibration parameters for the robot are determined by

- a) providing the robot with a calibration tool (8) supported by the robot hand,
- b) running the robot into contact with a calibration body (7) which has at least one accurately known dimension,
- c) reading and storing, in the contact positions, the output signals from the position transducers of the robot, and
- d) repeating steps b) and c) a number of times at least equal to the number of calibration parameters plus three,

**characterized** in that the calibration tool is run into mechanical contact with a calibration body (7) which has the shape of a sphere, the radius (R) of which is known, and that the calibration parameters are calculated by starting from

- 1) the kinematic equations of the robot;
- 2) a model of the relationship between axial position and position transducer signal,
- 3) the known radius (R) of the calibration body, and
- 4) the read and stored position transducer signals.

2. A method according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the calibration body is arranged with an arbitrary, unknown position within the working range of the robot.

3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2, **characterized** in that the calibration method is carried out at least twice with the calibration body in different positions within the working range of the robot.

4. An industrial robot system for carrying out the method according to any of claims 1 to 3 with an industrial robot which has

- a plurality of movement axes (A1-A6) with a position transducer for each one of said axes adapted to supply an output signal ( $\Phi_i$ ) which defines the current position of the axis,
- a robot hand (6) for supporting a tool,
- a control system (10) for controlling the position and orientation of the robot hand in accordance with a program and adapted to receive the output signals of the position transducers,
- a calibration tool (8) adapted to be supported by the robot hand,
- a calibration body (7) which has at least one accurately known dimension,

**characterized** in that the calibration body (7) has the shape of a sphere, the radius (R) of which is accurately known, and that calculating means (106) are adapted to calculate the calibration parameters on the basis of

- 1) the kinematic equations of the robot,
- 2) a model of the relationship between axial position and position transducer signal,
- 3) the known radius (R) of the calibration body, and
- 4) the read and stored position transducer signals.

5. An industrial robot system according to claim 4, **characterized** in that the calibration tool (8) comprises a spherical contact body (8a) adapted to be brought into mechanical contact with the calibration body (7).
6. An industrial robot according to claim 4 or 5, **characterized** in that the calibration body (7) is arranged with an arbitrary, unknown position within the working range of the robot.
7. An industrial robot system according to any of claims 4 to 6, characterized in that it comprises storage means (102) for storage of a calibration program for automatically carrying out the calibration method.




Fig. 1







Fig. 2

Fig. 3






Fig. 4a




Fig. 4b




Fig. 4c




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8a




Fig. 8b



European Patent  
Office

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 94 11 7825.3  
Page 1

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.6)
A	WO, A1, 9311915 (ASEA BROWN BOVERI AB), 24 June 1993 (24.06.93) * abstract *	1-7	B25J 19/00
	--		
A	EP, A1, 0042960 (EVANS ROGER CLINTON ET AL.), 6 January 1982 (06.01.82) * abstract *	1-7	
	--		
A	EP, A1, 0522411 (HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY), 13 January 1993 (13.01.93) * abstract *	1-7	
	--		
A	EP, A1, 0533949 (KABUSHIKI KAISHA YASKAWA DENKI), 31 March 1993 (31.03.93) * abstract *	1-7	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.6) B25J
	--		
A	US, A, 4841762 (BRADLEY L. HUNTER), 27 June 1989 (27.06.89) * abstract *	1-7	
	--		
A	US, A, 4892457 (DONALD S. BARTLETT ET AL), 9 January 1990 (09.01.90) * abstract *	1-7	
	--		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search STOCKHOLM		Date of completion of the search 22 February 1995	Examiner AXBERGER ANDERS
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			





European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 94 11 7825.3  
Page 2

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.6)
A	US, A, 5177563 (LOUIS J. EVERETT ET AL), 5 January 1993 (05.01.93) * column 3, line 3 - line 22, abstract *  -----	1-7	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.6)
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search		Date of completion of the search	Examiner
STOCKHOLM		22 February 1995	AXBERGER ANDERS
<b>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</b>			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document			
T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons ..... & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**